# STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE

THREE EMPIRE STATE PLAZA, ALBANY, NY 12223-1350

Internet Address: http://www.dps.state.ny.us

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MAUREEN O. HELMER
Chairman

LAWRENCE G. MALONE
General Counsel

DEBRA RENNER
Acting Secretary

THOMAS J. DUNLEAVY JAMES D. BENNETT LEONARD WEISS

June 3, 1869
PRECEIVED

JUN - 4 1999

FCC MAIL ROOM

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Hon. Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., TWA-325 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: In the Matter of Establishment of Rules to Prohibit the Imposition of Unjust, Onerous Termination Penalties on Customers Choosing to Partake of the Benefits of Local Exchange Telecommunications Competition - CC Docket No. 99-142

Dear Secretary Salas:

Enclosed is an original and seven copies of the Comments of the New York State Department of Public Service in the above-captioned proceeding. In addition, a copy was filed using the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System and copies were sent to all parties on the attached service list.

Sincerely,

Lawrence G. Malone General Counsel

Enclosure cc: All Parties

No. of Copies rec'd (146) List A B C D E

7 Montant

## BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC

RECEIVED

JUN - 4 1999

FCC MAIL ROOM

In the Matter of

The Establishment of Rules to Prohibit the Imposition of Unjust, Onerous Termination Penalties on Customers Choosing to Partake of the Benefits of Local Exchange Telecommunications Competition

CC Docket No. 99-142

COMMENTS OF THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE

> Lawrence G. Malone General Counsel Public Service Commission of the State of New York Three Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223-1350

Of Counsel

Nancy Russell Assistant Counsel

June 3, 1999 Dated:

Albany, New York

# BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC

In the Matter of

The Establishment of Rules to	)				
Prohibit the Imposition of	)				
Unjust, Onerous Termination	)				
Penalties on Customers	)	CC	Docket	No.	99-142
Choosing to Partake of the	)				
Benefits of Local Exchange	)				
Telecommunications Competition	)				

# COMMENTS OF THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE

#### INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

The New York State Department of Public Service submits these comments in response to KMC Telecom Inc.'s (KMC) petition for a declaratory ruling (DA 99-836) in the above-captioned proceeding. KMC asks the Commission to: (1) declare unlawful termination penalties imposed by incumbent local exchange carriers (ILECs); (2) prohibit enforcement of ILEC termination penalties; and (3) require the removal of ILEC termination penalties from ILEC state tariffs until such time as customers have a more genuine competitive choice than currently exists.

KMC argues that Section 253 of the Act provides the Commission authority to declare ILEC termination penalties unlawful.

The Commission should deny KMC's petition. Section 253 provides the Commission with limited ability to preempt a state's actions; it does not empower the Commission to issue a blanket preemption ruling on termination penalties. Section 253 contemplates that determinations to preempt are to be made on a

case-by-case basis, and therefore, KMC has failed to establish that all ILEC termination penalties, as a matter of law, constitute barriers to entry. Moreover, Congress plainly provided that an impediment to entry is not necessarily a "barrier."

Section 253 Of The Act Does Not Provide The Commission With Jurisdiction To Issue A Blanket Preemption Ruling.

KMC requests that the Commission declare unlawful any state policy allowing ILEC termination penalties. KMC argues that all such penalties are "barriers to entry" and, thus, the Commission has the authority to preempt under Section 253 of the Act.

In drafting Section 253, Congress balanced the states' protection of local interests in universal service and health and safety against the national interest in local competition. In so doing, it specifically limited the Commission's preemptive

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Section 253 of the Act, "Removal of Barriers to Entry," states:

<sup>(</sup>a) In General. - No State or local statute or regulation, or other State or local legal requirement, may prohibit or have the effect of prohibiting the ability of any entity to provide any interstate or intrastate telecommunications service.

<sup>(</sup>b) State Regulatory Authority. - Nothing in this section shall affect the ability of a State to impose, on a competitively neutral basis and consistent with section 254, requirements necessary to preserve and advance universal service, protect the public safety and welfare, ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services, and safeguard the rights of consumers.

authority by detailing the actions the Commission must take prior to a determination to preempt.

The plain language of this section does not empower the Commission to preempt a general category of state legal requirements, nor does it allow the Commission to preempt all states in a single proceeding. Preemption must be narrowly tailored to address the specific state regulation. Furthermore, Congress recognized that an impediment to entry is not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Section 253(d) states:

<sup>(</sup>d) PREEMPTION -- If, after notice and an opportunity for public comment, the Commission determines a State or local government has permitted or imposed any statute, regulation, or legal requirements that violates subsection (a) or (b), the Commission shall preempt the enforcement of such statute, regulation, or legal requirement to the extent necessary to correct such violation or inconsistency.

The New York Commission has not yet ruled on the lawfulness of a termination penalty. It has, however, determined that the valid assignment of a contract from an end user to a reseller does not terminate the contract. Complaint and Request of CTC Communications, Inc. for Emergency Relief Against New York Telephone d/b/a Bell Atlantic-New York for Violations of Section 251(c)(4) and Section 252 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, Section 91 of the N.Y. Pub. Serv. Law (September 14, 1998) and Resale Tariff PSC No. 915, Case 98-C-0426, Order Granting Petition, 1998 WL 869313 (N.Y. P.S.C); Case 98-C-0426, Order Denying Motion to Compel and for Sanctions and Clarifying the Order Granting Petition (February 1, 1999).

necessarily a "barrier." KMC has not shown that all ILEC termination penalties were imposed to bar competitors from entering the local market. Rather, KMC's own petition concedes that some of these penalties may be imposed for legitimate reasons (KMC petition at p. 3; ILECs often offer special discounted rates for services like Centrex, if the end user commits to receive service for a specified amount of time).<sup>2</sup>

Moreover, even when preemption may be appropriate,

Congress limited the Commission's preemptive power to "the extent
necessary to correct such violation or inconsistency." KMC does
not ask that ILEC termination penalties be limited in duration or
to certain monetary amounts, rather KMC asks that they be
declared unlawful per se. As KMC fails to present any evidence
that declaring these penalties unlawful is "necessarily" the only

The Conference Report on S. 652, 104 Cong., 2d Sess., noted that Congress' "intent was to leave protected state regulatory authority, and leave protected local government authority, but there have to be some cases of preemption or a certain city could impose a requirement of some sort or another that would be very anticompetitive." S.R. Conf. Rep. No. 104-230 at 126-127. "Existing State laws or regulations that reasonably condition telecommunications activities of a monopoly utility and are designed to protect captive utility customers from the potential harms caused by such activities are not preempted under this section. However, explicit prohibitions on entry by a utility into telecommunications are preempted under this section." Id. at p. 127.

There are also other legitimate reasons. For example, termination penalties may be imposed because a particular end user who committed to receive the service for a specified amount of time also received a special discounted rate in the bargain. Penalties may also be imposed as a result of expenditures associated with a contract. An ILEC may have amortized its investment in the cost of facilities, such as ISDN, in consideration of expenses specifically incurred to serve a particular customer and in reliance upon bargained for future performance by such customer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>See 47 U.S.C. §253(d).

way to remove an alleged barrier to entry, preemption must fail.

See <u>California Coastal Comm'n v. Granite Rock Co.</u>, 480 U.S. 572,

580 (1987) (The court held that preemption is permitted only if there is no possible set of conditions which the state could place on its regulatory requirements that would not conflict with federal law.)

### Conclusion

The Commission should deny KMC's petition for declaratory ruling. The Commission should not impair its working relationship with the states by issuing a blanket ruling preempting state action under the auspices of its Section 253 authority.

Respectfully submitted,

Laurem B Molone

Lawrence G. Malone General Counsel

Dated: June 3, 1999 Albany, New York In the Matter of

In the Matter of Establishment of Rules to Prohibit the Imposition of Unjust, Onerous Termination Penalties on Customers Choosing to Partake of the Benefits of Local Exchange Telecommunications Competition -CC Docket No. 99-142

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Lucille T. Dillenbeck, hereby certify that an original and seven (7) copies of comments in the above-captioned proceeding were sent via Airborne Express to Magalie Roman Salas, Secretary of the Federal Communications Commission. In addition, a copy was filed using the Commission's Electronic Filing System and copies were sent by First Class Mail, postage prepaid, to all parties on the attached service list.

Lucille T. Dillenbeck

Dated: June 3, 1999

Albany, New York

James Lanni
Rhode Island Division
of Public Utilities
100 Orange Street
Providence RI 02903

Joel B. Shifman Maine Public Utility Commission State House Station 18 Augusta ME 04865

Charles F. Larken
Vermont Department of
Public Service
120 State Street
Montpelier VT 05602

Rita Barmen Vermont Public Service Board 89 Main Street Montpelier VT 05602

Keikki Leesment New Jersey Board of Public Utilities 2 Gateway Center Newark NJ 07102 Veronica A. Smith
Deputy Chief Counsel
Pennsylvania Public Utility
Commission
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg PA 17105-3265

Marlene L. Johnson Chairperson District of Columbia Public Service Commission 717 14th Street, N.W. Washington DC 20005 Telecommunications Report 1333 H Street, N.W. - 11th Floor West Tower Washington DC 20005

International Transcription
 Services, Inc.
1231 20th Street
Washington DC 20036

Brad Ramsay
NARUC
Interstate Commerce
Commission Bldg., Room 1102
12th & Constitution St., NW
Washington DC 20044

Lawrence Strickling, Chief Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554 Janice M. Myles Federal Communications Bureau Common Carrier Bureau 445 12th Street, S.W. Rm 5-C327 Washington, D.C. 20554

Camille Stonehill
State Telephone Regulation
Report
1101 King Street
Suite 444
Alexandria VA 22314

Alabama Public Service Commission P.O. Box 304260 Montgomery AL 36130-4260

Richard Collier Chief Counsel Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Pky. Nashville TN 37243-0505 Sandy Ibaugh
Indiana Utility
Regulatory Commission
901 State Office Bldg.
Indianapolis IN 46204

Ronald Choura
Michigan Public
Service Commission
6545 Mercantile Way
Lansing MI 48910

Mary Street Iowa Utilities Board Lucas Building 5th Floor Des Moines IA 50316

Gary Evenson
Wisconsin Public
Service Commission
P.O. Box 7854
Madison WI 53707

Gordon L. Persinger
Missouri Public Service
Commission
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City MO 65102

Sam Loudenslager
Arkansas Public Service
Commission
1200 Center Street
P.O. Box C-400
Little Rock AR 72203

Maribeth D. Swapp Deputy General Counsel Oklahoma Corp. Commission 400 Jim Thorpe Building Oklahoma City OK 73105

Marsha H. Smith
Idaho Public Utilities
Commission
Statehouse
Boise ID 83720

Edward Morrison
Oregon Public Utilities
Commission
Labor and Industries Bldg.
Room 330
Salem OR 97310

Mary Adu Public Utilities Commission of the State of California 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco CA 94102 Rob Vandiver
General Counsel
Florida Public Service
Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee FL 32399-0850

Glenn Blackmon Washington U&TC 1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr., S.W. P.O. Box 47250 Olympia WA 98504-7250 Myra Karegianes General Counsel Illinois Commerce Commission State of Illinois Building 160 No. LaSalle - Suite C-800 Chicago IL 60601-3104

Ann Seha Assistant Attorney General Manager, Public Utilities Division 121 7th Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul MN 55101 Robin McHugh Montana PSC 1701 Prospect Avenue P.O. Box 202601 Helena MT 59620-2601 Cynthia Norwood Virginia State Corp. Commission P.O. Box 1197 Richmond VA 23218 Deonne Brunning Nebraska PSC 1200 N. Street Lincoln NE 68508

Diane Munns
Iowa Utilities Board
Lucas State Office Building
Des Moines, IA 50319

Glen F. Ivey
Chairman
Maryland Public Service Commission
6 St. Paul Street
16th Floor
Baltimore, MD 21202-6806

The Hon. Michael K. Powell Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., 8th Fl Washington, D.C. 20554 The Hon. Gloria Tristani Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., 8th Fl Washington, D.C. 20554

The Hon. William E. Kennard Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., 8th Fl Washington, D.C. 20554 The Hon. Susan Ness Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., 8th Fl Washington, D.C. 20554

The Hon. Harold Furchgott-Roth Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., 8th Fl Washington, D.C. 20554

Bill Allen Bell Atlantic Telephone Corp. 158 State Street Albany, New York 12207 Mary Liz Hepburn Bell Atlantic Telephone Corp. 1300 I Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 Patrick Donovan
Kathleen L. Greenan
Swidler, Berlin, Shereff
Friedman, LLP
3000 K Street, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007